

# 2019

## WCIRB Geo Study

A Report on California Regional Differences

Start Here





# How to use this workbook

Open the interactive workbook in Adobe Reader.

Navigate through the document using the navigation buttons on the top of the screen.

Have fun!

**Please note:** Interactivity is not available on smartphones or on tablet devices. You must use Adobe Reader for the full functionality to work.

## Home

Click on the home icon to return to the table of contents



## Yellow Arrow

Click on arrow to navigate to next page



## Navy Blue Arrow

Click on arrow to navigate to previous page



## Help

Click on the information icon to reveal these instructions again



# Table of Contents

<a href="#">About the WCIRB</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">Executive Summary</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">What's New</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Interactive Maps</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Basis of Analysis</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 1: Geographic Regions</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 2: Indemnity Claim Frequency Relative to Statewide</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 3: Policy Year (PY) 2013-2017 Change in Indemnity Claim Frequency Relativity</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 4: Limited Incurred Severity on Indemnity Claims Relative to Statewide</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 5: PY 2016-2017 Change in Median Injured Worker's Average Weekly Wage</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 6: Permanent Disability Claims as a Share of Indemnity Claims</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 7: Cumulative Trauma Claims as a Share of Total Claims</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 8: Medical-Legal Share of Paid Medical</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 9: Pharmaceutical Share of Paid Medical</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 9a: PY 2013-2017 Percent Point Change in Pharmaceutical Share</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 10: Share of Indemnity Claims with an Opioid Payment</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 10a: PY 2013-2017 Change in the Share of Indemnity Claims with an Opioid Payment</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 11: Ratio of Limited Losses to Modified Pure Premium</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 12: Median Paid ALAE on Permanent Disability Claims</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 12f: Relative Ratio of Compromise and Release to Stipulated Settlements</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 12h: Limited Paid ALAE Development Relative to Statewide: RL 1 to RL 3</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 13: Open Share of Indemnity Claims</a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 13a: PY 2013-2017 Percent Point Change in Open Share of Indemnity Claims</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 14: Limited Incurred Loss Development Relative to Statewide: RL 1 to RL 3</a>	<a href="#">27</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 15: Indemnity Claim Development Relative to Statewide: RL 1 to RL 3</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 16: Share of Physical Therapy Claims Receiving Physical Therapy Within 30 Days</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">Exhibit 17: Soft Tissue Injuries as a Share of All Claims</a>	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">Technical Appendix</a>	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">More Info</a>	<a href="#">35</a>
<a href="#">Disclaimer and Copyright</a>	<a href="#">39</a>

# About the WCIRB

For over 100 years, the Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau of California (WCIRB) has been California's trusted, objective provider of actuarially-based information and research integral to a healthy California workers' compensation system.

As a licensed rating organization and the California Insurance Commissioner's designated statistical agent, the WCIRB performs a number of functions, including collection of premium and loss data on every workers' compensation insurance policy, examination of policy documents, inspection of insured businesses, and test audits of insurer payroll audits and claims classifications. This data is used to advise the Insurance Commissioner and other stakeholders of the costs of providing workers' compensation benefits.

The WCIRB is a California unincorporated, private, nonprofit association comprised of all insurers licensed to transact workers' compensation insurance in California and has over 400 members. No state money is used to finance its operations.

 For more information, please visit [wcirb.com](https://www.wcirb.com).

Let us know what you think by emailing us at [ActuarialResearch@wcirb.com](mailto:ActuarialResearch@wcirb.com).



## Executive Summary

The California workers' compensation system is established, administered and interpreted on a statewide basis. Nevertheless, there are sharp differences in cost characteristics across regions of the state. This report highlights those differences.

### Key findings include:

- Even after controlling for regional differences in wages and industrial mix, indemnity claim frequency is significantly higher in the Los Angeles Basin and significantly lower in the San Francisco Bay Area.
- Regional differences in indemnity claim frequency have been fairly consistent over time and across industries. The LA/Long Beach region has had the highest frequency, and the Peninsula/Silicon Valley region has had the lowest frequency during all available years. The difference between these regions has grown in each of the last two years. Since 2013, the largest improvement in relative indemnity claim frequency is in the Fresno/Madera region, and the greatest deterioration has been in Orange County and the Imperial/Riverside region.
- Regional differences in severity are more muted than in frequency. Even after controlling for regional differences in industrial mix, limited average incurred on indemnity claims is highest in the San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara and Ventura regions and lowest in the San Bernardino/West Riverside region.
- Pharmaceutical costs throughout the state have dropped dramatically over the last several years, and the prevalence of opioid prescriptions for claims with pharmaceutical payments has also dropped dramatically. The largest decreases in pharmaceutical costs have occurred in Southern California regions, which had the highest pharmaceutical spending at the beginning of the study period. This has decreased the differences in pharmaceutical costs across regions over time.
- The share of cumulative trauma claims as a percent of all claims is much higher in the Los Angeles Basin than in other parts of the state, and that gap has generally widened over time.
- Both medical-legal costs and paid allocated loss adjustment expenses (ALAE) are significantly higher in the Bakersfield and Los Angeles Basin regions than in the remainder of the state.
- The share of open indemnity claims has decreased substantially in all regions since 2013. The largest decreases have been experienced in the Los Angeles Basin regions that had the highest initial open indemnity claim shares. These changes have narrowed regional differences over time.
- Incurred loss development regional differences observed were relatively modest. In general, development appeared higher than average in more urban areas, with the highest in the Los Angeles/Long Beach region and the lowest in the Fresno/Madera region.

[Exhibit 1](#)

[Exhibit 2](#)

[Exhibit 3](#)

[Exhibit 4](#)

[Exhibit 5](#)

[Exhibit 6](#)

[Exhibit 7](#)

[Exhibit 8](#)

[Exhibit 9](#)

[Exhibit 9a](#)

[Exhibit 10](#)

[Exhibit 10a](#)

[Exhibit 11](#)

[Exhibit 12](#)

[Exhibit 12f](#)

[Exhibit 12h](#)

[Exhibit 13](#)

[Exhibit 13a](#)

[Exhibit 14](#)

[Exhibit 15](#)

[Exhibit 16](#)

[Exhibit 17](#)

[Appendix](#)

[More Info](#)

[Geo Data Table](#)

[Interactive Maps](#)

[Geo Zip Region](#)

## What's New

Nine new maps and other supplementary data are provided in this year's study, including:

1. The share of indemnity claims with an opioid payment (**Exhibit 10**)
2. The cumulative change in the share of indemnity claims with an opioid payment (**Exhibit 10a**)
3. Distributions of permanent disability claims by type of settlement (**Exhibit 12f**)
4. Limited paid ALAE development relative to statewide (**Exhibit 12h**)
5. Cumulative changes in the open share of indemnity claims (**Exhibit 13a**)
6. Limited incurred loss development relative to statewide (**Exhibit 14**)
7. Indemnity claim count development relative to statewide (**Exhibit 15**)
8. The share of physical therapy claims receiving therapy treatment within 30 days (**Exhibit 16**)
9. The share of all claims arising from soft tissue injuries (**Exhibit 17**)
10. Nearly 30 supplementary data tables showing additional data elements, data at third report level (RL) and data by industrial sector

A mapping of nine-digit zip codes and regional wage differentials to the study regions shown in **Exhibit 1** are available in the **Research and Analysis** section of the WCIRB website. More information about the development of the maps and the data underlying the maps is included in the **Technical Appendix** to this report.

[Exhibit 1](#)

[Exhibit 2](#)

[Exhibit 3](#)

[Exhibit 4](#)

[Exhibit 5](#)

[Exhibit 6](#)

[Exhibit 7](#)

[Exhibit 8](#)

[Exhibit 9](#)

[Exhibit 9a](#)

[Exhibit 10](#)

[Exhibit 10a](#)

[Exhibit 11](#)

[Exhibit 12](#)

[Exhibit 12f](#)

[Exhibit 12h](#)

[Exhibit 13](#)

[Exhibit 13a](#)

[Exhibit 14](#)

[Exhibit 15](#)

[Exhibit 16](#)

[Exhibit 17](#)

[Appendix](#)

[More Info](#)


[Geo Data Table](#)

[Interactive Maps](#)

[Geo Zip Region](#)

# Interactive Maps

Interactive versions of the geographic maps are now available in html format.



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

## Basis of Analysis

WCIRB staff have developed a dataset that allows estimates of the incidence of exposures and claims by classification and region. The dataset was developed by linking the WCIRB's unit statistical and medical transactional datasets with external data that complements the WCIRB's unit statistical data by providing refined geographical information.

External data was used to control for regional wage differentials, industrial mix and the number of workers at each location. WCIRB staff developed geographic regions that reflect high degrees of medical provider commonality while at the same time being robust, credible and independent of the claim cost measures under study. The **Technical Appendix** describes the methodologies used in the study in greater detail.

This enriched dataset comprises five policy years of data. For this study, the WCIRB used the experience of policy years 2013 to 2017, which covers policies incepting January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2017 and includes injuries occurring on those policies.

## Results

This study is based on first report level unit statistical data for policy year 2017 that was linked with the WCIRB's medical transactional data and Dun and Bradstreet Hoovers (D&B Hoovers) data. The D&B Hoovers data was used to geolocate exposures by classification.

Additional data from third report level unit statistical data for policy years 2013 to 2015 underlies some exhibits and supplementary data tables.

The WCIRB's medical transactional data was used to geolocate claims. The methods used in this study are discussed in greater detail in the **Technical Appendix**.

[Exhibit 1](#)

[Exhibit 2](#)

[Exhibit 3](#)

[Exhibit 4](#)

[Exhibit 5](#)

[Exhibit 6](#)

[Exhibit 7](#)

[Exhibit 8](#)

[Exhibit 9](#)

[Exhibit 9a](#)

[Exhibit 10](#)

[Exhibit 10a](#)

[Exhibit 11](#)

[Exhibit 12](#)

[Exhibit 12f](#)

[Exhibit 12h](#)

[Exhibit 13](#)

[Exhibit 13a](#)

[Exhibit 14](#)

[Exhibit 15](#)

[Exhibit 16](#)

[Exhibit 17](#)

[Appendix](#)

[More Info](#)

[Geo Data Table](#)

[Interactive Maps](#)

[Geo Zip Region](#)



# 2019 WCIRB Geo Study


A Report on California Regional Differences

# Exhibits

Exhibit 1

- Exhibit 2
- Exhibit 3
- Exhibit 4
- Exhibit 5
- Exhibit 6
- Exhibit 7
- Exhibit 8
- Exhibit 9
- Exhibit 9a
- Exhibit 10
- Exhibit 10a
- Exhibit 11
- Exhibit 12
- Exhibit 12f
- Exhibit 12h
- Exhibit 13
- Exhibit 13a
- Exhibit 14
- Exhibit 15
- Exhibit 16
- Exhibit 17
- Appendix
- More Info
- Geo Data Table
- Interactive Maps
- Geo Zip Region

Geographic Regions




Description

- This map of the regions was developed by WCIRB staff.
- A mapping of nine-digit zip codes to the study regions is available in the **Research and Analysis** section of the WCIRB website.
- The mapping also provides the regional wage relativities used to normalize payrolls across regions.

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)**
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

# Indemnity Claim Frequency Relative to Statewide



## Insights

- Claim frequencies for the Los Angeles area continue to be significantly higher than the statewide average while claim frequencies for the Bay Area are lower even after controlling for industrial mix and wage level differences.
- The LA/Long Beach (15) region has the highest claim frequency, almost one-third above average
- The Peninsula/Silicon Valley (07) region has the lowest, 28% below average claim frequency.
- While more volatile, regional patterns are similar by industry and are shown in the Geo Data Table.




More Info





Policy Year (PY) 2013-2017 Change in  
Indemnity Claim Frequency Relativity

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- Exhibit 3**
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)



Insights

- Regional differences in indemnity claim frequency have generally been relatively stable.
- Relativities in Fresno/Madera (05) improved substantially in 2014 and 2015 and have been stable since.
- Relativities in Orange County (17) and Imperial/Riverside (18) deteriorated substantially in 2014, 2015 and 2016, while improving slightly in 2017.




More Info





Limited\* Incurred Severity on Indemnity Claims Relative to Statewide

- Exhibit 1
- Exhibit 2
- Exhibit 3
- Exhibit 4**
- Exhibit 5
- Exhibit 6
- Exhibit 7
- Exhibit 8
- Exhibit 9
- Exhibit 9a
- Exhibit 10
- Exhibit 10a
- Exhibit 11
- Exhibit 12
- Exhibit 12f
- Exhibit 12h
- Exhibit 13
- Exhibit 13a
- Exhibit 14
- Exhibit 15
- Exhibit 16
- Exhibit 17
- Appendix
- More Info
- Geo Data Table
- Interactive Maps
- Geo Zip Region



\* Limited to \$500,000



Insights

- Regional differences in indemnity claim severity are more muted than for claim frequency. Severity relativities are adjusted for classification mix.
- The highest severity cost region in the state is the Ventura (12) region for the second consecutive year, 13% above average.
- The lowest severity costs are in the San Bernardino/West Riverside (14) region, 5% below average.
- Regional relativities in severities at a more mature level (42 months form policy inception) are very similar to those shown at 18 months maturity (**T4c**).




More Info



PY 2016-2017 Change in Median Injured Worker’s Average Weekly Wage

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- Exhibit 5**
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)



Insights


- Wage levels remain highest in Sonoma/Napa (02), the Bay Area (06) and the Peninsula/Silicon Valley (07).
- Wages are lower throughout most of the central and southern part of the state.
- Virtually all regions experienced substantial growth in injured worker median wages in 2017, with high wage regions experiencing the largest growth.



More Info



Permanent Disability Claims as a Share of Indemnity Claims



Insights

- The shares of indemnity claims that are permanent disability claims are higher in Southern California than in Northern California.
- In the Ventura (12) and SLO/Santa Barbara (09) regions, more than 40% of indemnity claims involve permanent disability, while some Northern California regions involve 30% or less.
- As permanent disability claims are more costly than temporary indemnity claims, regional differences in their shares explain some of the regional cost differences.
- Increases in shares shown at early maturities for 2014 and 2015 were more muted at 42 months of maturity, possibly suggesting faster recognition of permanent disability claims (**T6c**).




More Info



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)**
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

Cumulative Trauma Claims as a Share of Total Claims



Insights

- The incidence of cumulative trauma claims is significantly higher in the Los Angeles area and most other parts of Southern California.
- The share of total claims involving cumulative trauma or occupational disease dropped modestly in 2017 in most Southern California regions.
- WCIRB research has shown that cumulative trauma claims frequently involve multiple body parts or a specific injury, are litigated, are initially denied in part or in whole and are often filed on a post termination basis.
- Regional differences are very similar at later maturities (42 months) as earlier maturities (**T7b**).



More Info




- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)**
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)



Medical-Legal Share of Paid Medical

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- Exhibit 8**
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)



Insights

- Medical-legal reports account for a significantly greater share of paid medical in the Los Angeles Basin than in the rest of the state.
- While the share of paid medical for medical-legal reports has decreased modestly statewide, regional differences have generally been consistent over time.




More Info



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- Exhibit 9**
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

Pharmaceutical Share of Paid Medical



Insights

- While the pharmaceutical share of total paid medical is small in all regions at this 18-month summary, significant regional differences exist.
- The pharmaceutical share is highest in Bakersfield (10) at 3.8%. It is lowest in Yuba City/Redding/Far North (01) at 1.1%.




More Info



PY 2013-2017 Percent Point Change in Pharmaceutical Share

- Exhibit 1
- Exhibit 2
- Exhibit 3
- Exhibit 4
- Exhibit 5
- Exhibit 6
- Exhibit 7
- Exhibit 8
- Exhibit 9
- Exhibit 9a**
- Exhibit 10
- Exhibit 10a
- Exhibit 11
- Exhibit 12
- Exhibit 12f
- Exhibit 12h
- Exhibit 13
- Exhibit 13a
- Exhibit 14
- Exhibit 15
- Exhibit 16
- Exhibit 17
- Appendix
- More Info
- Geo Data Table
- Interactive Maps
- Geo Zip Region



Insights

- The pharmaceutical share of paid medical has fallen in almost every region during each of the past four years.
- The pharmaceutical share of paid medical has fallen significantly at 18 months maturity, from 7% to less than 2% over this time.
- The pharmaceutical share increased in Bakersfield (10) in 2017. This is the only observed increase for any region in any year of the Geo Study.




More Info



Share of Indemnity Claims with an Opioid Payment

- Exhibit 1
- Exhibit 2
- Exhibit 3
- Exhibit 4
- Exhibit 5
- Exhibit 6
- Exhibit 7
- Exhibit 8
- Exhibit 9
- Exhibit 9a
- Exhibit 10**
- Exhibit 10a
- Exhibit 11
- Exhibit 12
- Exhibit 12f
- Exhibit 12h
- Exhibit 13
- Exhibit 13a
- Exhibit 14
- Exhibit 15
- Exhibit 16
- Exhibit 17
- Appendix
- More Info
- Geo Data Table
- Interactive Maps
- Geo Zip Region



Insights

- The share of indemnity claims with an opioid payment has fallen significantly, from nearly 44% to about 18% over this time.
- The share of indemnity claims with an opioid payment is highest in many rural regions of the state.
- The average total opioid payments for indemnity claims with any opioid payments has also decreased in all regions (**T10b**).




More Info





PY 2013-2017 Change in the Share of Indemnity Claims  
with an Opioid Payment

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- Exhibit 10a**
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)



Insights


- The share of indemnity claims with an opioid payment has fallen sharply in every region during each of the past four years.
- The changes have not been uniform across regions and have widened the regional differences over time.



More Info



Ratio of Limited\* Losses to Modified Pure Premium



\*Limited to \$500,000



Insights

- Limited loss ratios are highest in the LA/Long Beach (15) region and lowest in the Sacramento (03) region.
- These difference in limited loss ratios are largely driven by regional differences in indemnity frequency rates discussed previously.
- Regional patterns are very similar at later maturity levels (42 months) (**T11a**).




More Info



Exhibit 1  
Exhibit 2  
Exhibit 3  
Exhibit 4  
Exhibit 5  
Exhibit 6  
Exhibit 7  
Exhibit 8  
Exhibit 9  
Exhibit 9a  
Exhibit 10  
Exhibit 10a  
**Exhibit 11**  
Exhibit 12  
Exhibit 12f  
Exhibit 12h  
Exhibit 13  
Exhibit 13a  
Exhibit 14  
Exhibit 15  
Exhibit 16  
Exhibit 17  
Appendix  
More Info  
Geo Data Table  
Interactive Maps  
Geo Zip Region

Median Paid ALAE on Permanent Disability Claims



Insights

- Paid ALAE is significantly higher in the Los Angeles Basin. The lowest ALAE costs tend to be in the more rural areas of the state.
- The statewide median paid ALAE increased over 9% from 2015 to 2016 and over 17% from 2016 to 2017. Increases were experienced in nearly all regions.
- Changes in median paid ALAE were less at later maturity levels (42 months) (**T12a**). This suggests that recent observed increases at earlier maturity levels (18 months) may in part be indicative of accelerating ALAE payments.




More Info



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)**
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

Relative Ratio of Compromise and Release to Stipulated Settlements

- Exhibit 1
- Exhibit 2
- Exhibit 3
- Exhibit 4
- Exhibit 5
- Exhibit 6
- Exhibit 7
- Exhibit 8
- Exhibit 9
- Exhibit 9a
- Exhibit 10
- Exhibit 10a
- Exhibit 11
- Exhibit 12
- Exhibit 12f**
- Exhibit 12h
- Exhibit 13
- Exhibit 13a
- Exhibit 14
- Exhibit 15
- Exhibit 16
- Exhibit 17
- Appendix
- More Info
- Geo Data Table
- Interactive Maps
- Geo Zip Region



Insights

- Compromise and Release (C&R) settlements are more common throughout much of the southern part of the state.
- These regional differences in types of claim settlement explain part of the differences in paid ALAE observed in **Exhibit 12**.
- C&R settlements generally end all payments on a claim while stipulated settlements leave the medical portion of the claim open. As a result, claims with stipulated settlements may have later development in both medical loss and ALAE not yet reflected in the summaries shown.




More Info





Limited\* Paid ALAE Development Relative to Statewide:  
RL 1 to RL 3




\*Limited to \$500,000



Insights

- Paid ALAE development is highest in the Bakersfield (10) and Tulare/Inyo (11) regions and lowest in the SLO/Santa Barbara (9) region.
- Many regions in the state have very similar paid ALAE development patterns.




More Info



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)**
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

Open Share of Indemnity Claims

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- Exhibit 13**
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)



Insights

- The share of indemnity claims that are open at first report level (18 months maturity) is significantly higher in Southern California.
- Regional differences in the open share of indemnity claims are similar at later maturities (42 months) as they are at early maturities (18 months) (**13d**).




More Info



PY 2013-2017 Percent Point Change in Open Share of Indemnity Claims

- Exhibit 1
- Exhibit 2
- Exhibit 3
- Exhibit 4
- Exhibit 5
- Exhibit 6
- Exhibit 7
- Exhibit 8
- Exhibit 9
- Exhibit 9a
- Exhibit 10
- Exhibit 10a
- Exhibit 11
- Exhibit 12
- Exhibit 12f
- Exhibit 12h
- Exhibit 13
- Exhibit 13a**
- Exhibit 14
- Exhibit 15
- Exhibit 16
- Exhibit 17
- Appendix
- More Info
- Geo Data Table
- Interactive Maps
- Geo Zip Region



Insights

- The share of claims open has declined steadily since 2013.
- The decreases have been largest in regions with the highest open shares of indemnity claims (Southern California).
- This has led to less regional differentiation over time of the open shares shown in **Exhibit 13**.




More Info



Limited\* Incurred Loss Development Relative to Statewide: RL 1 to RL 3

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- Exhibit 14**
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)



Insights

- The regional differences in loss development are relatively modest.
- Loss development is somewhat higher in the Los Angeles Basin than most of the rest of the state. This could be related to the higher proportion of cumulative trauma claims discussed earlier.
- The LA/Long Beach (15) region has the highest loss development, while the Fresno/Madera (05) region has the lowest.



More Info




\*Limited to \$500,000



Indemnity Claim Development Relative to Statewide: RL 1 to RL 3

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- Exhibit 15**
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)



Insights


- Regional differences in indemnity claim count development are more muted than differences in loss development.
- The LA/Long Beach (15) region has the highest count development, while the Imperial/Riverside (18) region has the lowest.



More Info



Share of Physical Therapy Claims Receiving Physical Therapy Within 30 Days



Insights

- There are large regional differences in the share of claims with physical therapy (PT) at first report level (18 months maturity) that received their initial PT treatment within 30 days.
- Shares are higher in urban areas, with almost 70% of LA/Long Beach (15) and San Gabriel Valley/Pasadena (16) region claims with PT getting PT in the first 30 days.
- Shares are lower in remote areas, with the Yuba City/Redding/Far North (01) region share close to 30%.




More Info



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)**
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

Soft Tissue Injuries as a Share of All Claims

- Exhibit 1
- Exhibit 2
- Exhibit 3
- Exhibit 4
- Exhibit 5
- Exhibit 6
- Exhibit 7
- Exhibit 8
- Exhibit 9
- Exhibit 9a
- Exhibit 10
- Exhibit 10a
- Exhibit 11
- Exhibit 12
- Exhibit 12f
- Exhibit 12h
- Exhibit 13
- Exhibit 13a
- Exhibit 14
- Exhibit 15
- Exhibit 16
- Exhibit 17**
- Appendix
- More Info
- Geo Data Table
- Interactive Maps
- Geo Zip Region



Insights

- Soft tissue injury claims are most common in the Ventura (12) region and least common in the Sacramento (03) region.
- Regional differences in soft tissue claims are relatively modest.



More Info





# 2019 WCIRB Geo Study

## A Report on California Regional Differences

# Appendix



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- Appendix**
- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

# Technical Appendix

Increasing anecdotal evidence of geographical differences in California workers’ compensation claim costs led WCIRB staff to develop a database that could provide refined estimates of regional claim frequencies and other claim cost differentials. This database resolves two problems with Unit Statistical Report (USR) data, which does not provide geographic information for exposures or claims.

The first problem is determining the appropriate allocation of USR exposures by classification to geographic locations. This problem was resolved by linking the WCIRB’s USR data to D&B Hoovers data, which provides information on employer locations, including the industries at each location and estimates of the number of employees at each location. The second problem is determining the appropriate allocation of claims to employer locations. This problem was resolved by using the geographic information for select data available in the WCIRB’s medical data call (MDC). The resulting triple-linked database – USR, MDC and D&B Hoovers – provides an enriched database that allows for more refined analyses of geographical differences across California.

The exposure and claim geolocating protocols benefited greatly from the voluntary participation of several insurers who reviewed samples of exposure and claim allocations for their policies.

In addition to the three primary data sources used to form the triple-linked database, WCIRB staff also utilized the following sources:

- WCIRB policy and inspection report data (for names and addresses)
- Occupational Employment Survey (to develop regional wage adjustments)
- Self-Insurance Rosters of the Division of Workers’ Compensation’s Office of Self-Insured Plans (to identify D&B Hoovers records without associated workers’ compensation policies)

## Methods of Linkage – USR to D&B Hoovers

Multiple methods were used to link USR and D&B Hoovers data. Linkages were established using employer names (including owner/proprietor, Doing Business As and parent company names), addresses and Federal Employer Identification Numbers. A protocol was established among linkage methods to avoid ambiguity. Ambiguously matched data was excluded from the study.

In studies prior to 2016, there was a significant temporal mismatch between the WCIRB’s policy year USR data and the D&B Hoovers data, which was as of January 5, 2015. This mismatch was not immaterial. D&B Hoovers identifies newly founded employer locations. In the 2016 study, approximately 3.5% of D&B Hoovers’ records were identified as founded after the USR inception dates included in the study. A comparable share of USR data was likely associated with employers that went out of business between the study period and the timing of the D&B Hoovers data capture. Additionally, employers moving may prevent accurate matching of addresses. In spite of these obstacles, staff was able to develop a credible database that represented approximately 92% of the target policy year’s data. The missing data was evaluated for its potential to bias regional differentials and no significant biases were found.

Over time, the availability of contemporaneous D&B Hoovers and USR data has ameliorated many of these problems and allowed for enhanced USR-D&B Hoovers match rates. In the 2019 study, approximately 92% of the target policy year’s data was successfully matched.

In parallel with linking the USR and D&B Hoovers data, WCIRB staff also matched D&B Hoovers data to the self-insurance rosters published by the California Division of Workers’ Compensation’s Office of Self-Insured Plans. Self-insured employers identified in the D&B Hoovers data were then excluded from matching with USR data to increase the overall quality of the matching.

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)

**Appendix**

- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

# Technical Appendix (...continued)

## Methods of Linkage – USR to MDC

The USR data was linked with MDC data using insurer, policy and claim number matching. While more straightforward, the linkages between these datasets are not complete. Not all insurers participate in MDC. For the study period, approximately 11% of insured data was not in MDC because the insurer did not participate in MDC. Matching was performed and employer experience was included at the policy level. For example, for an employer insured by two insurers, one of which participated in MDC while the other did not participate in MDC, only the experience of the insurer that participated in MDC was included. Further, only claims that were medically active and for which data was submitted to MDC are available in MDC. USR claims for which there were no medical payments captured in MDC will not be available to match with MDC. Settlements paid directly to injured workers, for example, typically would not be captured in MDC. The claim experience captured in the study, therefore, represents a subset of all claim experience. No regional biases were detected due to excluding this data.

## Geolocating Exposures

Exposures were allocated to locations recognizing regional wage differentials (developed from the Occupational Employment Survey) and the relative number of employees estimated by D&B Hoovers to be at each location. Each classification’s exposures were allocated to locations using the industries at the location provided by D&B Hoovers. Note that the regional wage differentials are by county – not by WCIRB region. The regional wage differentials used in the study are provided in the zip code-to-region mapping.

## Geolocating Claims

Claims were allocated to locations at which the claim’s classification had exposure allocated. Claims were located to the nearest such location by calculating the location of each claim’s “center of medical services” determined from MDC observations. All MDC features were used to geolocate claims. Features were weighted in proportion to their accuracy in geolocating so that features that provide good geolocating information receive greater weight than features that provide poor geolocating information. The average number of MDC observations used to geolocate a claim was 31.1.

## Identifying Optimal Geographic Units of Analysis

A market area approach was used to identify economically cohesive geographical units. To identify economically cohesive geographical units, WCIRB staff examined the “correlation” of medical providers among geographic units. The idea is that regions utilizing common providers form a more natural geographic unit.

To identify economically cohesive geographical units, WCIRB staff first identified the minimum number of claims required in a geographic unit for reasonably stable results. A selection of 130 claims was made based on reviewing the clustering patterns for geographical units with greater claim volumes and identifying the volumes below which the ability to detect previously identified and stable clusters deteriorated. The average geolocated claim’s number of MDC observations used in geolocating was 31.1, so the expected number of geolocating MDC observations for a geographic unit with 130 claims was 4,043.

Staff then developed a customized grid for the state for which each cell had at least 130 claims. Cells varied in geographic area as required to include at least 130 claims. Cells smaller than 1.3mi<sup>2</sup> in geographic area but with more than 130 claims were not subdivided. The provider “correlation” matrix for the grid was then calculated. If two geographic units had half of the providers in common, then the “correlation” between the two units was 0.50. The provider “correlations” range between zero and unity. The statewide average provider “correlation” across the grid was 0.12.

- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)

**Appendix**

- [More Info](#)
- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

# Technical Appendix (...continued)

Unity less the provider “correlation” was used as a measure of dissimilarity between geographic units. Cluster analysis using Ward’s 2D linkage criterion was then performed using this measure of dissimilarity. The cluster analysis algorithm first divided the state into two clusters such that the dissimilarity within the clusters is minimized. This process was repeated iteratively for each division until a desired number of clusters was reached. WCIRB staff evaluated a range of clusters and selected 19 as striking a good balance between robustness in the geographic units’ results and the level of refinement. The average provider “correlation” for the selected 19 geographic regions is 0.40.

A mapping of U.S. Postal Service nine-digit zip codes to the study regions is available in the Research and Analysis section of the WCIRB website. The mapping includes the regional wage differentials. Note that an accurate mapping requires the use of the nine-digit, or zip plus 4, codes. Regions are not uniquely identified at the five-digit zip code level, and five-digit zip codes may map to multiple regions.

Let us know what you think about this study by emailing us at [ActuarialResearch@wcirb.com](mailto:ActuarialResearch@wcirb.com).



# 2019 WCIRB Geo Study

## A Report on California Regional Differences

# More Info



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)

More Info

- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

More Info

Exhibit 2: Indemnity Claim Frequency Relative to Statewide

- This map shows the regional indemnity claim frequency relative to statewide. The expected statewide frequencies were developed at a classification level.
- The regional indemnity claim frequency relativities for policy years 2013 through 2017 are provided on tab **T2** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional total claim frequency relativities (not mapped) for policy years 2013 through 2017 are provided on tab **T3a** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional indemnity claim frequency relativities by industrial sector for policy years 2013 through 2017 are provided on tabs **T3b** through **T3g** of the Geo Data Table.



Return to  
**Exhibit 2**

Exhibit 3: Policy Year (PY) 2013-2017 Change in Indemnity Claim Frequency Relativity

- This map shows the percentage point change in indemnity claim frequency relativity from policy year 2013 to policy year 2017.
- The data underlying this map as well as changes in prior policy years are provided on tab **T3** of the Geo Data Table.



Return to  
**Exhibit 3**

Exhibit 4: Limited Incurred Severity on Indemnity Claims Relative to Statewide

- This map shows total incurred severity on indemnity claims, controlled for classification mix, relative to statewide.
- These indemnity severities are at first report level, with all losses limited to \$500,000, and are not necessarily the indemnity severities ultimately expected as claims mature.
- The regional total incurred severity relativities for indemnity claims for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T4** of the Geo Data Table. The regional incurred indemnity severity relativities for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T4a** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional medical incurred severity relativities for indemnity claims for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T4b** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional total incurred severity relativities for indemnity claims for policy years 2013 to 2017 at third report are provided on tab **T4c** of the Geo Data Table.



Return to  
**Exhibit 4**

Exhibit 5: PY 2016-2017 Change in Median Injured Worker's Average Weekly Wage

- This map shows the policy year 2016 to 2017 change in median injured worker's average weekly wage for geolocated claims. Each region's median injured worker's average weekly wage for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T5a** of the Geo Data Table.
- The median injured worker's age for claims with permanent disability for policy years 2013 to 2017 (not mapped) is provided on tab **T5b** of the Geo Data Table.



Return to  
**Exhibit 5**



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)

- More Info**
- [Geo Data Table](#)
  - [Interactive Maps](#)
  - [Geo Zip Region](#)

## More Info (...continued)

### Exhibit 6: Permanent Disability Claims as a Share of Indemnity Claims

- This map shows the policy year 2017, at first report level, regional shares of indemnity claims that are permanent disability.
- Each region's permanent disability share of indemnity claims for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T6** of the Geo Data Table. Each region's indemnity claim share of total claims for policy years 2013 to 2017 (not mapped) are provided on tab **T6a** of the Geo Data Table.
- Each region's permanent disability share of indemnity claims for policy years 2013 to 2015 at third report are provided on tab **T6b** of the Geo Data Table.
- Higher shares of more costly indemnity claims explain some of the cost differences observed in [Exhibit 4](#).



### Exhibit 7: Cumulative Trauma Claims as a Share of Total Claims

- This map shows the share of all claims (including medical only) that are cumulative trauma or occupational disease by region for policy year 2017.
- These shares are at first report level and do not reflect the shares ultimately expected. The cumulative injury shares by region for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T7** of the Geo Data Table.
- Changes in the cumulative injury share are provided on tab **T7a** of the Geo Data Table. Third report values of cumulative injury share are provided on tab **T7b** of the Geo Data Table.



### Exhibit 8: Medical-Legal Share of Paid Medical

- This map shows the policy year 2017 share of paid medical accounted for by medical-legal reports.
- Medical-legal reports are used to address disputed issues and are expected to be more frequent for permanent disability claims.
- The incidence of medical-legal reports beyond that explained by differences in permanent disability shares suggests a degree of litigiousness.
- The regional values of medical-legal as a share of total paid medical report for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T8** of the Geo Data Table. These values relative to statewide are provided on tab **T8a** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional shares of indemnity claims with a medical-legal report for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T8b** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional median permanent disability rating is provided in tab T8c for first report and in tab **T8d** for third report of the Geo Data Table.



### Exhibit 9: Pharmaceutical Share of Paid Medical

- This map shows, by region, the medical paid-to-date for pharmaceuticals from policy year 2017.
- Each policy year's share of paid medical that is for pharmaceuticals is provided on tab **T9** of the Geo Data Table, and each policy year's share relative to statewide is provided on tab **T9b** of the Geo Data Table.



- Exhibit 1
- Exhibit 2
- Exhibit 3
- Exhibit 4
- Exhibit 5
- Exhibit 6
- Exhibit 7
- Exhibit 8
- Exhibit 9
- Exhibit 9a
- Exhibit 10
- Exhibit 10a
- Exhibit 11
- Exhibit 12
- Exhibit 12f
- Exhibit 12h
- Exhibit 13
- Exhibit 13a
- Exhibit 14
- Exhibit 15
- Exhibit 16
- Exhibit 17
- Appendix

**More Info**

- Geo Data Table
- Interactive Maps
- Geo Zip Region

More Info (...continued)

**Exhibit 9a:** PY 2013-2017 Percentage Point Change in Pharmaceutical Share

- This map shows, by region, the change in medical paid-to-date for pharmaceuticals from policy years 2013 to 2017.
- The year-to-year changes in pharmaceuticals' share of paid medical is provided on tab **T9a** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 9a](#)

**Exhibit 10:** Share of Indemnity Claims with an Opioid Payment

- This map shows, by region, the share of claims with an indemnity payment that had at least one opioid payment.
- Each policy year's share of indemnity claims with an opioid payment are provided on tab **T10** of the Geo Data Table.
- The average total opioid payments for indemnity claims with any opioid payments are shown on tab **T10b** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 10](#)

**Exhibit 10a:** PY 2013-2017 Change in the Share of Indemnity Claims with an Opioid Payment

- This map shows, by region, the cumulative change in the share of indemnity claims that had at least one opioid payment.
- Each policy year's cumulative change in the share of indemnity claims with an opioid payment are provided on tab **T10a** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 10a](#)

**Exhibit 11:** Ratio of Limited Losses to Modified Pure Premium

- This map shows regional loss ratio relativities after application of experience rating for experience rated employers for policy year 2017.
- Expected losses contemplate a \$500,000 per claim limit and are controlled for classification mix and regional wage level differences. Each claim's actual losses are limited to \$500,000.
- The limited losses are compared to the modified pure premium for those risks, which is the premium generated at the approved advisory pure premium rates adjusted by the applicable experience modifications.
- Exhibit 11** provides the most comprehensive picture of regional cost differentials.
- The regional loss ratio relativities for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T11** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional loss ratio relativities for policy years 2013 to 2015 at third report are provided on tab **T11a** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 11](#)





[Exhibit 1](#)  
[Exhibit 2](#)  
[Exhibit 3](#)  
[Exhibit 4](#)  
[Exhibit 5](#)  
[Exhibit 6](#)  
[Exhibit 7](#)  
[Exhibit 8](#)  
[Exhibit 9](#)  
[Exhibit 9a](#)  
[Exhibit 10](#)  
[Exhibit 10a](#)  
[Exhibit 11](#)  
[Exhibit 12](#)  
[Exhibit 12f](#)  
[Exhibit 12h](#)  
[Exhibit 13](#)  
[Exhibit 13a](#)  
[Exhibit 14](#)  
[Exhibit 15](#)  
[Exhibit 16](#)  
[Exhibit 17](#)  
[Appendix](#)  
**More Info**  
[Geo Data Table](#)  
[Interactive Maps](#)  
[Geo Zip Region](#)

More Info (...continued)

Exhibit 12: Median Paid ALAE on Permanent Disability Claims

- This map shows the regional median paid allocated loss adjustment expense (ALAE) per permanent disability claim for policy year 2017.
- The regional median paid ALAE per permanent disability claim for policy years 2013 to 2017 is provided on tab **T12** of the Geo Data Table. The median paid ALAE at third report is provided on tab **T12a** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional average paid ALAE per permanent disability claim for policy years 2013 to 2017 is provided on tab **T12d** of the Geo Data Table. The average paid ALAE per permanent disability claimant third report is provided on tab **T12e** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional paid ALAE shares of incurred losses on permanent disability claims are provided on tab **T12b** of the Geo Data Table. The paid ALAE shares of incurred losses on permanent disability claims at third report are provided on tab **T12c** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 12](#)

Exhibit 12f: Relative Ratio of Compromise and Release to Stipulated Settlements

- This map shows the ratio of compromise and release (C&R) settlements to stipulated settlements for closed permanent disability claims, relative to statewide for policy year 2015 at third report.
- The regional settlement distribution of closed permanent disability claims is provided on tab **T12f** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional median paid ALAE per permanent disability claim by type of settlement is provided on tab **T12g** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 12f](#)

Exhibit 12h: Limited Paid ALAE Development Relative to Statewide: RL 1 to RL 3

- This map shows regional paid ALAE development relativities from first report level to third report level for policy year 2015.
- Each claim's actual paid ALAE is limited to \$500,000.
- The regional paid ALAE development relativities for policy years 2013 to 2015 are provided on tab **T12h** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 12h](#)

Exhibit 13: Open Share of Indemnity Claims

- This map shows each region's share of indemnity claims that were reported as open at first report level for policy year 2017.
- The regional open shares for indemnity claims at first report level for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T13** of the Geo Data Table. The regional open shares for indemnity claims at third report level are provided on tab **T13d** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional open shares for all claims at first report level for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T13b** of the Geo Data Table. The regional open shares for all claims at third report level are provided on tab **T13e** of the Geo Data Table.
- The regional open shares for all claims at first report level for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided on tab **T13c** of the Geo Data Table. The regional open shares for permanent disability claims at third report level are provided on tab **T13f** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 13](#)





[Exhibit 1](#)  
[Exhibit 2](#)  
[Exhibit 3](#)  
[Exhibit 4](#)  
[Exhibit 5](#)  
[Exhibit 6](#)  
[Exhibit 7](#)  
[Exhibit 8](#)  
[Exhibit 9](#)  
[Exhibit 9a](#)  
[Exhibit 10](#)  
[Exhibit 10a](#)  
[Exhibit 11](#)  
[Exhibit 12](#)  
[Exhibit 12f](#)  
[Exhibit 12h](#)  
[Exhibit 13](#)  
[Exhibit 13a](#)  
[Exhibit 14](#)  
[Exhibit 15](#)  
[Exhibit 16](#)  
[Exhibit 17](#)  
[Appendix](#)

More Info

- [Geo Data Table](#)  
[Interactive Maps](#)  
[Geo Zip Region](#)

More Info (...continued)

Exhibit 13a: PY 2013-2017 Percent Point Change in Open Share of Indemnity Claims

- This map shows each region's change in the share of indemnity claims that were reported as open at first report level from 2013 to 2017.
- The regional changes in open shares for indemnity claims at first report level are provided on tab **T13a** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 13a](#)

Exhibit 14: Limited Incurred Loss Development Relative to Statewide: RL 1 to RL 3

- This map shows regional incurred loss development relativities from first report level to third report level for policy year 2015.
- Each claim's actual losses are limited to \$500,000.
- The regional incurred loss development relativities for policy years 2013 to 2015 are provided on tab **T14** of the Geo Data Table.
- Analogous regional incurred paid development relativities for policy years 2013 to 2015 are provided on tab **T14a** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 14](#)

Exhibit 15: Indemnity Claim Development Relative to Statewide: RL 1 to RL 3

- This map shows regional indemnity claim count development relativities from first report level to third report level for policy year 2015.
- This development includes incurred but not reported claims, as well as claims initially categorized as medical-only at first report level that had an indemnity payment or reserve at third report level.
- The regional indemnity claim count development relativities for policy years 2013 to 2015 are provided on tab **T15** of the Geo Data Table.


 [Return to Exhibit 15](#)

Exhibit 16: Share of Physical Therapy Claims Receiving Physical Therapy Within 30 Days

- This map shows the regional share of claims involving physical therapy for which the first physical therapy treatment occurred within 30 days of the date of injury.
- The regional shares of physical therapy claims receiving physical therapy treatment within 30 days for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided in tab **T16** of the Geo Data Table.

 [Return to Exhibit 16](#)



- [Exhibit 1](#)
- [Exhibit 2](#)
- [Exhibit 3](#)
- [Exhibit 4](#)
- [Exhibit 5](#)
- [Exhibit 6](#)
- [Exhibit 7](#)
- [Exhibit 8](#)
- [Exhibit 9](#)
- [Exhibit 9a](#)
- [Exhibit 10](#)
- [Exhibit 10a](#)
- [Exhibit 11](#)
- [Exhibit 12](#)
- [Exhibit 12f](#)
- [Exhibit 12h](#)
- [Exhibit 13](#)
- [Exhibit 13a](#)
- [Exhibit 14](#)
- [Exhibit 15](#)
- [Exhibit 16](#)
- [Exhibit 17](#)
- [Appendix](#)

More Info

- [Geo Data Table](#)
- [Interactive Maps](#)
- [Geo Zip Region](#)

More Info (...continued)

Exhibit 17: Soft Tissue Injuries as a Share of All Claims

- This map shows the regional share of all claims that were for soft tissue injuries.
- The share of all claims by injury category for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided in tab **T17** of the Geo Data Table.
- The limited incurred severity for indemnity claims by injury category for policy years 2013 to 2017 are provided in tab **T17a** of the Geo Data Table.
- The share of paid medical by injury category for policy years 2013 to 2017 is provided in tab **T17b** of the Geo Data Table.



[Return to Exhibit 17](#)





## Notice & Copyright

*The WCIRB Geo Study (Report)* was developed by the Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau of California (WCIRB) for the convenience of its users. This Report was developed for the limited purpose of assessing regional differences in California workers' compensation claim frequency and severity during a specific period of time and may not reflect trends before or after the specific period addressed in this Report. The WCIRB has made reasonable efforts to ensure the accuracy of this Report. You must make an independent assessment regarding the use of this Report based upon your particular facts and circumstances. The WCIRB shall not be liable for any damages of any kind, whether direct, indirect, incidental, punitive or consequential, arising from the use, inability to use, or reliance upon information provided in this Report.

© 2019 Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau of California.  
All rights reserved.

No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including, without limitation, photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system without the prior written permission of the Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau of California (WCIRB), unless such copying is expressly permitted by federal copyright law. No copyright is claimed in the text of statutes and regulations quoted within this work.

Each WCIRB member company (Company) is authorized to reproduce any part of this work solely for the purpose of transacting workers' compensation insurance. This reproduction right does not include the right to make any part of this work available on any website or on any form of social media.

Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau of California, WCIRB, WCIRB California, WCIRB Connect, WCIRB Inquiry, WCIRB CompEssentials, X-Mod Direct, eSCAD, Comprehensive Risk Summary, X-Mods and More and the WCIRB California logo (WCIRB Marks) are registered trademarks or service marks of the WCIRB. WCIRB Marks may not be displayed or used in any manner without the WCIRB's prior written permission. Any permitted copying of this work must maintain any and all trademarks and/or service marks on all copies.

To seek permission to use any of the WCIRB Marks or any copyrighted material, please contact the Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau of California at [customerservice@wcirb.com](mailto:customerservice@wcirb.com).

**Workers' Compensation Insurance  
Rating Bureau of California**  
1221 Broadway, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612  
888.CA.WCIRB (888.229.2472)

**wcirb.com**



wcirb.com



1221 Broadway, Suite 900  
Oakland, CA 94612  
888.CA.WCIRB (888.229.2472)